

CORRES. CONTROL
INCOMING LTR NO.

00944 RF 96

DUE
DATE

ACTION

DIST.	LTR	ENC
ALLEN, R.C.		
BENSUSSEN, S.J.		
BRENNAN, P.D.		
BUHL, T.R.		
CARD, R.G.		
DEAN, C.		
EVANS, B.L.		
FERRERA, D.W.		
GEIS, J.A.		
GILLISON, W.R.		
GLOVER, W.S.		
HARROUN, W.P.		
HEDAHL, T.G.	X	
HERRING, C.L.		
HILL, J.A.		
KELL, R.E.		
KELLY, G.M.		
MANI, V.		
MARTINEZ, L.A.		
McANALLY, J.L.		
McGOVERN, L.J.		
McKIBBIN, J.G.		
MEADOWS, S.M.		
NORTH, K.		
OGG, R.N.		
SANDLIN, N.B.		
SHUMWAY, W.K.		
SPEARS, M.S.		
STEELMAN, M.		
TUOR, N.R.		
TURNER, K.A.		
VOORHEIS, G.M.		
Sieben, A.	X	
Wiemelt, K	X	
Law, J.E	X	
PHILLIPS, F	X	

CORRES. CONTROL X X
ADMN RECORD/080 X
PATS/T130G

Reviewed for Addressee
Corres. Control RFP

7/15/96 X
DATE BY

Ref Ltr. #

DOE ORDER # 548019



Department of Energy

ROCKY FLATS OFFICE
P.O. BOX 928
GOLDEN, COLORADO 80402-0928

JUL 11 1996

96-DOE-09356

Tim Rehder, Manager
Rocky Flats Project
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII
999 18th Street, Suite 500
Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

Dear Mr. Rehder,

This letter is in response to the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) letter dated October 27, 1994, regarding the Final Phase III Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Facility Investigation/Remedial Investigation (RFI/RI) Report for Operable Unit (OU) 1 (June 1994). EPA's letter states that there are a small number of issues that remain inadequately addressed by the Department of Energy (DOE), but that "it is not anticipated that the revisions needed to satisfy these issues will impact the final conclusions of the report."

The Department of Energy agrees that the final conclusions of the report will not be impacted by the resolution of these issues. Therefore, based on this fact, as well as the fact that DOE has moved forward to the Record of Decision (ROD) stage of the process for OU 1 with concurrence from EPA and the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE), DOE does not feel that further revisions of the RFI/RI report at this stage of the process would be a valuable use of limited resources. The conclusions of the RFI/RI and the choice of a preferred remedial alternative would not change as a result of these revisions. DOE considers the RFI/RI report to be adequate to support the selection and implementation of the preferred remedial alternative for OU 1. This letter serves to close the investigation stage of the process for OU 1.

If you have any questions, please call me at 966-3367.

Sincerely,

Sandi MacLeod
Sandi MacLeod
Program Liaison Division

cc:
G. Kleeman, EPA
S. Chaki, CDPHE
C. Gilbreath, CDPHE
J. Rampe, RFFO
S. MacLeod, RFFO
B. April, RFFO
A. Sieben, K-H
Administrative Record

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION
REVIEW WAIVER PER
CLASSIFICATION OFFICE